

Nomenclature to use its plenary power to overrule the Principle of Priority in this particular case and to give the name *oxyacanthae* Frey, 1855, as published in the binomen *Lithocolletis oxyacanthae*, precedence over the name *pomonella* Zeller, 1846, as published in the binomen *Lithocolletis pomonella* Zeller, 1846.

#### Additional references

- Denis, M. & Schiffermüller, I.** 1775. *Ankündigung eines systematischen Werkes von den Schmetterlingen der Wienergegend herausgegeben von einigen Lehrern am k. k. Theresianum*. 323 pp., 2 pls. Augustin Bernardi, Wien.
- De Prins, W. & De Prins, J.** 2005. Family Gracillariidae. In Landry, B. (Ed.), *World Catalogue of Insects*, vol. 6. 502 pp. Apollo Books, Stenstrup.
- De Prins, J. & De Prins, W.** 2006. *Global Taxonomic Database of Gracillariidae. (Lepidoptera)*. World Wide Web electronic publication (<http://gc.bebif.be>) (Accessed March, 2007).

(3) Bernard Landry

Muséum d'histoire naturelle, C.P. 6434, 1211 Genève 6, Switzerland

(e-mail: [bernard.landry@ville-ge.ch](mailto:bernard.landry@ville-ge.ch))

I am writing to support the application of Dr Paolo Triberti of the Muso civico di Storia Naturale in Verona (Case 3376) on the proposed conservation of the specific name *Lithocolletis oxyacanthae* Frey, 1855 (currently *Phyllonorycter oxyacanthae*; Insecta, Lepidoptera) by giving it precedence over *Lithocolletis pomonella* Zeller, 1846.

Given the widespread use of the name *Phyllonorycter oxyacanthae* (Frey) since the description of the species and its consistent use with regard to host plant association, and given the continuous confusion regarding the meaning of the name *Lithocolletis pomonella* Zeller over time, I believe that this application is warranted and that the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature should use its plenary power to overrule the Principle of Priority in this case to give the name *Lithocolletis oxyacanthae* Frey, 1855 precedence over the name *Lithocolletis pomonella* Zeller, 1846.

**Comment on the proposed precedence of *Chelodina rugosa* Ogilby, 1890 (currently *Macrochelodina rugosa*; Reptilia, Testudines) over *Chelodina oblonga* Gray, 1841 (Case 3351; see BZN 63: 187–193, 64: 68)**

S.A. Thomson

Institute of Applied Ecology, University of Canberra, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia (e-mail: [thomson@home.netspeed.com.au](mailto:thomson@home.netspeed.com.au))

One of the reasons for the Principle of Priority is to protect good taxonomic work presented to science from being overwritten by future errors. This is relevant to current problems in Australian turtle names.

The case in question is one where a name, *Chelodina oblonga*, was correctly applied for 136 years. It has a valid type specimen that is extant in the collection of the Natural History Museum, London, as figured in Thomson (2000). Furthermore, the